arXiv:1408.3184v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 14 Aug 2014

Electron Temperature Dependence of the Optical Properties of Small Sodium Nanoparticles

Guozhong Wang[1],* Yizhuang Zheng[1], and Jian Zi[2]†
[1] *School of physics and electric information, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, P.R.China*
[2] *Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P.R.China*
(Dated: August 15, 2014)

We report a novel behavior of the surface plasmon linewidth in sodium nanospheres Na_{1760} changing with the electron temperature, which monotonically decreases and bears a discontinuous sudden drop at high electron temperatures. Our calculation is based on the model constructed by splitting the total Hamiltonian of all valence electrons of a metallic nanoparticle into two sub-Hamiltonians and their coupling, and obtained results can be verified by the pump-probe femtosecond spectroscopy experiments. In addition, we propose that it is the size uncertainty of small nanoparticles that yields the intrinsic linewidth of the surface plasmon resonance, which is supported by the available data of clusters Na_8 and Na_{20}.

PACS numbers: 73.20.mf, 31.15.xr, 36.40.Gk, 36.40.Vz

When illuminated by a light with proper frequency, the delocalized valence electrons of a metallic nanoparticle (MNP) will be excited to perform collective oscillations against ions, the so-called surface plasmon resonance (SPR). MNPs could enable strong optical absorption and scattering in deep subwavelength scale, with spectral properties determined by their composition, size, geometry, charge and surrounding medium [1]. The application based on different features of SPR flourished in many areas, such as surface-enhanced Raman scattering [2], single molecule detection [3], plasmon rulers [4]. Besides nanophotonics, SPR is also finding use in biology, catalysis, quantum optics and quantum information transfer. The capability of plasmonic systems to manipulate and enhance optical signals at nanoscale has paved the way for many novel concepts and applications, which requires a deep understanding of physics occurred in MNPs.

Based on the features of MNPs interacting with lights, different models and theories have been constructed. For large MNPs ($\geq 100\,\mathrm{nm}$), the quantum effect is negligible and their optical properties can be well described by classical Drude dielectric function $\epsilon(\omega) = 1 - \omega_p^2/\omega(\omega + i\gamma_i)$, where $\omega_p = (4\pi\rho_e e^2/m)^{1/2}$ is the Mie frequency of the plasmon resonance, γ_i^{-1} the relaxation time, and e, m and ρ_e the electron charge, mass and density respectively. Classical plasmonic systems yield resonance at Mie frequency which does not depend on MNPs' size. For quantum plasmonic systems, the time-dependent local density approximation (TDLDA) and all kinds of random phase approximation methods (RPA) are powerful tools to study their optical properties [5, 6]. For medium-sized MNPs with radii $10 - 60\,\mathrm{nm}$, their optical properties are quite difficult to accurately describe as the situations of very small and very large MNPs. Many non-fundamental methods were also developed for the optical properties of MNPs with sizes from several nanometers to several tens of nanometers [7–10]. For MNPs smaller than 5 nm, the model constructed by separating the coordinates of all delocalized valence electrons into the coordinate of the center of mass and relative coordinates describes the SPR as the oscillation of the center-of-mass, and the SPR is damped by the coupling between the center of mass of valence electrons and electrons outside the nanoparticle (SCRM) [11–13].

Although the SCRM is fundamental and elegant, the results done previously are not satisfactory. As a matter of fact, the best founded TDLDA and all kinds of RPA methods are not perfect either. It is believed that the full linewidth of the SPR comprises an intrinsic part γ_i and a size-dependent part $\gamma_s(a)$. However, neither TDLDA nor RPA methods touch upon the calculation of the intrinsic linewidth, and its generation mechanism is still an open question. For bulk metals, the intrinsic linewidth of the SPR is caused by the scattering of delocalized electrons by phonons, impurities and defects. For small MNPs, electron states are quantized into discrete levels due to strong quantum confinement effect and the scattering of a delocalized electron experienced in bulk metals does not exist, which foreshadows a different generation mechanism for the intrinsic linewidth of small MNPs. In this letter, we will use SCRM to study the optical properties of nanospheres Na_{1760} in detail and explore the generation mechanism of the intrinsic linewidth.

For alkali metals, the optical properties is mainly determined by delocalized valence electrons, and ionic cores can be treated as a uniform background [5]. For nanoparticles with valence electrons closing angular momentum shells, such as Na_{1760}, their shapes can be thought of as perfect spheres. For such a sodium nanoparticle composed of N atoms in vacuum, by introducing the coordinate of the center of mass $\mathbf{R} = (\sum \vec{r}_i)/N$ and its conjugate momentum $\mathbf{P} = \sum \vec{p}_i$, the total Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of all delocalized valence electrons denoted by coordinate and momentum pairs $(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i)$ can be separated into three parts $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{H}_{12}$, and the detailed derivation

can be found in the supplementary information. Three terms of total Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ are

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2Nm} + \frac{1}{2}Nm\Omega_p^2\mathbf{R}^2$$
$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N}(\frac{\vec{\varrho}_i^2}{2\,m} + U(\xi_i)) + \frac{e^2}{8\pi\varepsilon_0}\sum_{i\neq j}^{N}\frac{1}{|\vec{\xi}_i - \vec{\xi}_j|}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{12} = \frac{Ne^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 a^3}\mathbf{R}\cdot\sum_{i=1}^{N}\vec{\xi}_i(\frac{a^3}{\xi_i^3} - 1)\Theta(\xi_i - a) \qquad (1)$$

respectively, where $\xi_i = |\vec{\xi}_i|$; a and $\Theta(x)$ are the radius of nanospheres and the Heaviside step function; $\vec{\xi}_i = \vec{r}_i - \mathbf{R}$ and $\vec{\varrho}_i = \vec{p}_i - \mathbf{P}/N$ are the relative coordinate and relative momentum of the i-th electron. The single electron confining potential $U(|\vec{\xi} + \mathbf{R}|)$ produced by ionic background was expanded to the second order at $|\mathbf{R}| = 0$. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ has the harmonic oscillator structure with the frequency $\Omega_p = \omega_p\sqrt{1 - N_{out}/N}$ describing the motion of the center of mass, where $N_{out} = \sum_i^N \Theta(\xi_i - a)$ is the number of spill-out electrons. The quanta of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ correspond to SPR excitations in MNPs. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_2$ describes the degrees of freedom of relative coordinates of all valence electrons. The third part $\mathcal{H}_{12}$ shows that the SPR couples not to electrons inside the nanosphere but to those outside the nanosphere.

The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ can be further expressed as $\mathcal{H}_1 = \sum(n + 1/2)\hbar\Omega_p\,\hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b}$, and the annihilation operator $\hat{b}$ is defined as

$$\hat{b} = \sqrt{\frac{Nm\Omega_p}{2\hbar}}\mathbf{R} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2Nm\hbar\Omega_p}}\mathbf{P}, \qquad (2)$$

where i is the imaginary unit. The potential energy part of $\mathcal{H}_2$ is usually approximated by a mean field which transforms the many body problem into a single particle problem, so the $\mathcal{H}_2$ can be expressed as $\mathcal{H}_2 = \sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha\,\hat{c}_\alpha^\dagger\hat{c}_\alpha$. The energy levels and corresponding wavefunctions of $\mathcal{H}_2$ can be obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation

$$(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{eff}(\xi))\psi_\alpha(\vec{\xi}) = \epsilon_\alpha\psi(\vec{\xi}), \qquad (3)$$

where the single particle effective potential V_{eff} can be obtained by LDA calculation [11]. Because a systematic multi-step approximation method has been developed by present authors to solve Schrödinger equation with a Woods-Saxon-like potential [14], total 134 energy levels and corresponding piecewise analytical wavefunctions with high accuracy are obtained for nanospheres Na_{1760}, which makes it possible to accurately calculate the optical properties of Na_{1760}.

The coupling $\mathcal{H}_{12}$ can be recast as

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} = \mathcal{A}(\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{b})\sum_{\alpha,\beta} d_{\alpha\beta}\hat{c}_\alpha^\dagger\hat{c}_\beta, \qquad (4)$$



FIG. 1: The SPR energy $\hbar\Omega_q$ (dotted line) calculated according to Eq. (6) and $\hbar\Omega_p$ (red line) for Na_{1760} versus the classical plasmon energy $\hbar\omega_p$. The divergent values corresponding to $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \sim \Omega_p$ have been properly minished for the sake of display.

where the coefficient $\mathcal{A} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 a^3}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar N}{2m\Omega_p}}$, the matrix element $d_{\alpha\beta} = \langle\alpha|\xi_z(a^3/\xi^3 - 1)\Theta(\xi - a)|\beta\rangle$ is calculated between two states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ of $\mathcal{H}_2$.

Although the frequency Ω_p is always smaller than its classical counterpart ω_p, the redshift resulted just by the spill-out effect of valence electrons is not enough to explain experimental observations. The real frequency of SPR is the difference between the ground state and the first excited state of $\mathcal{H}_1$ with the second order perturbation corrections produced by the coupling $\mathcal{H}_{12}$, namely $\hbar\Omega_q = \hbar(\Omega_p - \Delta)$ and

$$\Delta = \sum_{\alpha\beta} 2\mathcal{A}^2[1 - f(\epsilon_\alpha)]f(\epsilon_\beta)\frac{|d_{\alpha\beta}|^2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}}{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^2 - (\hbar\Omega_p)^2}, \qquad (5)$$

where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_\alpha - \epsilon_\beta$, and $f(\epsilon) = 1/(1 + e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/k_BT})$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution; T, k_B and μ the electron temperature, the Boltzmann constant and the chemical potential respectively. The values of $\mathcal{A}$ and Ω_p are determined by the radius $a = N^{1/3}r_s$, and r_s is the Wigner-Seitz radius. However, the value of r_s for sodium nanoparticles appeared in references varies from $3.93a_0$ to $4.3a_0$ being quite dispersive [5, 6, 11, 15], where $a_0 = 0.529\,\text{Å}$ is the Bohr radius. We calculated the energy of the SPR of Na_{1760} changing with $\hbar\omega_p$ and results are shown in Fig. 1. Only within a very narrow range of $\hbar\omega_p$ does the coupling $\mathcal{H}_{12}$ yield the frequency redshift of the SPR. The SPR energy $\hbar\Omega_q = 3.324$eV of the TDLDA calculation just locates in this redshift range [11], which corresponds to the radius $a = 25.313\,\text{Å}$ and $r_s = 3.962a_0$. The cusps in Fig. 1 correspond to the situation that the Eq. (5) is invalid due to the approximate degeneracy between the SPR state and electron-hole states.

The evolution of the SPR energy of Na_{1760} with different charges with the electron temperature is presented in Fig. 2, which first shows a redshift trend from zero temperature up to $T \sim 400\,\text{K}$ and then presents a explicit blueshift behavior. This evolution pattern is the same as



FIG. 2: The SPR energy of nanospheres Na_{1760} with different charges as a function of the electron temperature. The lowest line is for the situation of $q = -4|\,e|$, and e is the charge of an electron.



FIG. 3: The size-dependent linewidth $\hbar\gamma_s(a)$ of nanoparticles Na_{1760} with different charges as a function of the electron temperature.

those of Na_{138} and Na^+_{139} [16]. However, it is astonishing that the turning temperature (1000K for Na_{138} and 2500K for Na^+_{139}) drastically changes with the charge of nanospheres, which is quite different from our results.

The linewidth is another significant quantity to characterize the optical properties of MNPs. The size-dependent linewidth of the SPR in small MNPs is determined by Landau damping mechanism and can be expressed as

$$\hbar\gamma_s(a) = \mathcal{B}\sum_{\alpha\beta}[1 - f(\epsilon_\alpha)]f(\epsilon_\beta)|d_{\alpha\beta}|^2\delta(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} - \hbar\Omega_p), \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \frac{\hbar e^4 N}{16\pi m\varepsilon_0^2 a^6\Omega_p}$, and Dirac δ function $\delta(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}-\hbar\Omega_p)$ represents the condition of energy conservation. However, there are no particle-hole pairs of Na_{1760} strictly satisfying $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \hbar\Omega_p$. By first writing $\gamma_s(a) = \sum\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(a)$ and assuming that each $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(a)$ is the smooth function of its variables, we then integrate Eq. (6) at both sides in a narrow interval $[\hbar\Omega_p - \delta\epsilon, \hbar\Omega_p + \delta\epsilon]$, where $\delta\epsilon$ is left to be determined. So all the δ functions of Eq. (6) can be replaced by $1/2\delta\epsilon$. To single out the particle-hole pairs involved in the damping of the SPR, each energy level should be broadened out according to a generally used formula [18],

$$\mathcal{E}(\epsilon, \epsilon_\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_{_T}\epsilon_\alpha}}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_\alpha)^2 + 4\epsilon_{_T}\epsilon_\alpha}, \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon_{_T} = (\hbar k_0)^2/2m$, and $k_0 = 0.13N^{-1/3}$Å^{-1}. The width of a energy level changes from zero to $2\sqrt{4\epsilon_{_T}\epsilon_\alpha}$ and the condition of energy conservation of Eq. (6) should be replaced by

$$|\hbar\Omega_p - (\epsilon_\alpha + \epsilon_\beta)| \le (\sqrt{4\epsilon_{_T}\epsilon_\alpha} + \sqrt{4\epsilon_{_T}\epsilon_\beta}). \quad (8)$$

Therefore, a reasonable choice of $2\delta\epsilon$ is the smallest average value of two energy widths of particle-hole pairs satisfying Eq. (8), namely $2\delta\epsilon = \mathrm{Min}\{\sqrt{4\epsilon_{_T}\epsilon_\alpha} + \sqrt{4\epsilon_{_T}\epsilon_\beta}\}$. Fig. 3 shows the size-dependent linewidth $\hbar\gamma_s(a)$ of the SPR in Na_{1760} with different charges, which monotonically decreases with the increasing electron temperature and bears a discontinuous sudden drop at high electron temperatures. To our knowledge, this novel behavior of the SPR linewidth has not been reported before, which is completely different from those obtained by other authors. Weick et al. obtained the opposite result that the SPR linewidth of Na_{1760} monotonically increases with the electron temperature [11]. Hervieux and Bigot also obtained the result that the SPR linewidths of Na_{138} and Na^+_{139} increase with the electron temperature [16]. We notice that although the temperature effect is included, the SPR linewidth of Na_{138} calculated by Hervieux and Bigot is quite smaller than that of matrix RPA calculations [15]. Our calculated result, the SPR size-dependent linewidth (0.224eV) at the zero electron temperature, is the same as that of TDLDA calculation [11]. This novel behavior of SPR linewidth of Na_{1760} can be easily understood. When the electron temperature increases, valence electrons have larger possibility to occupy the higher energy levels leading to the smooth decrease of $\gamma_s(a)$. At the same time, valence electrons have larger possibility to stay outside leading to the slight decrease of the frequency Ω_p, which in turn alters the number of particle-hole pairs involved in the SPR damping yielding the sudden drop of the linewidth. The sudden drop in Fig. 3 is caused by the number of particle-hole pairs decreasing from 29 to 28. In the following we will show that this novel behavior of the SPR linewidth of Na_{1760} would be slightly enhanced by its intrinsic linewidth and could be verified by the pumb-probe femtosecond spectroscopy experiments.

The studies on the intrinsic linewidth of the SPR are precious few. It is believed that the coupling of the dipole SPR to the quadrupole shape fluctuations of positive ions produces the intrinsic linewidth proportional to $(T/N)^{1/2}$ [19]. However, this thermal mechanism of the intrinsic linewidth is questionable, which would lead to the corollary that the intrinsic linewidth vanishes when the ions temperature decreases to zero. Furthermore, the



FIG. 4: The ratio between the intrinsic linewidth and the size-dependent linewidth. The black line is calculated according to Eq. (9). The four symbols on the left side are the experimental results for clusters Na_8. The blue solid circle is for clusters Na_{20}.

lifetime of SPR is too transient to effectively couple the SPR with the shape fluctuations of ions. Due to the surface diffuseness and density fluctuations of ions, there always exists a size uncertainty of a MNP, which could yield an extra linewidth of the SPR. We propose that this extra linewidth induced by the size uncertainty of MNPs is the so-called intrinsic linewidth which can be expressed as $\gamma_i = |\gamma_s(a+\delta a) - \gamma_s(a)|$. When the radius a changes to $a+\delta a$, the energy level ϵ_α and the matrix element $d_{\alpha\beta}$ will change to $\epsilon_\alpha + \delta\epsilon_\alpha$ and $d_{\alpha\beta} + \delta d_{\alpha\beta}$ respectively. The quantum perturbation theory shows that $\delta\epsilon_\alpha \propto |\psi_\alpha|^2 \delta a/a$ and $\delta d_{\alpha\beta} \propto \psi^*_\alpha \psi_\beta \delta a/a$. Therefore the contributions to the intrinsic linewidth $\hbar\gamma_i$ from variations $\delta\epsilon_\alpha$ and $\delta d_{\alpha\beta}$ are negligible due to the fact that $|\psi| \ll 1$. The final expression of intrinsic linewidth is

$$\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_s(a)} = [1 - \frac{1}{(1+\delta a/a)^{7.5}}], \qquad (9)$$

where the size uncertainty δa at zero temperature is about $0.5\,a_0$. For nanoparticles Na_{1760}, the intrinsic linewidth $\hbar\gamma_i$ is about 0.016eV. The valuable data of Na_8 and Na_{20} support our proposal for the intrinsic linewidth, which are depicted in Fig. 4. Further details can be found in the supplementary information. Eq. (9) shows that the intrinsic linewidth would not weaken the novel behavior of the size-dependetn linewidth of Na_{1760}. Although the electron-phonon scattering could cause an apparent broadening of the SPR, the different time scales of the SPR lifetime (∼fs) and energy exchange between valence electrons and the lattice (∼ps) guarantee that the pump-probe femtosecond spectroscopy techniques is able to verify this novel behavior of the SPR linewidth of Na_{1760}. In the final analysis, the size uncertainty mechanism of the intrinsic linewidth is the manifestation of Landau damping mechanism in a MNP system with a diffusive surface. When MNPs become larger, the intrinsic linewidth originating from the size uncertainty will vanish and be superseded by that of bulk metals.

In summary, we have studied the optical properties of Na_{1760} and found a novel behavior of the linewidth for the first time, which monotonically decreases with the electron temperature and bears a discontinuous sudden drop at high temperatures. We think that this novel behavior of the linewidth also exists in other sodium nanospheres with closed angular momentum shells, but the sudden drop maybe becomes sudden rise. We also propose that the intrinsic linewidth of the SPR originates from its size uncertainty, which essentially manifests the Landau damping mechanism in a system without the exact size due to the surface diffuseness. The intrinsic linewidth would enhance the novel behavior of the size-dependent linewidth of Na_{1760}, and we expect that this novel behavior could be verified in future by the elaborate pump-probe femtosecond spectroscopy experiments.

This work was supported by the NSF of People's Republic of China under contract No.10904115.

* Electronic address: gzw@wzu.edu.cn;jzi@fudan.edu.cn

[1] U. Kreibig and M. Vollmer, *Optical Properties of Metal Clusters* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995), and references therein.
[2] P. L. Stiles, J. A. Dieringer, N. .C. Shah, and R. P.Van Duyne, Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem, **1**, 601 (2008).
[3] P. Zijlstra, P. M. R. Paulo and M. Orrit, Nature Nanotech. **7**, 379 (2012).
[4] N. Liu, M. Hentschel, T. weiss, A. P. Alivisatos, H. Giessen, Science, **332**, 1407 (2011).
[5] M. Brack, Rev. Mod. Phys, **65**, 677 (1993).
[6] W. Ekardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1925 (1984); Phys. Rev. B **31**, 6360 (1985).
[7] J. S. Parramon, Nanotech, **20**, 235706 (2009).
[8] E. A. Coronado, G. C. Schatz, J. Chem. Phys, **119**, 3926 (2003).
[9] J. A. Scholl, A. L. Koh, J. A. Dionne, Nature, **483**, 421 (2012).
[10] R. Esteban, A. G. Borisov, P. Nordlander and J. Aizpurua, Nature Communications, **3**, 825 (2012).
[11] G. Weick, G. L. Ingold, R. A. Jalabert and D. Weinmann, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 165421 (2006).
[12] L. G. Gerchikov, C. Guet and A. N. Ipatov, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 053202 (2002).
[13] R. A. Molina, D. Weinmann and R. A. Jalabert, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 155427 (2002).
[14] G. Wang, H. Li, Y. Shen, and B. Dong, J. Math. Phys, **52**, 112105 (2011).
[15] C. Yannouleas, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 6748 (1998); C. Yannouleas, E. Vigezzi, and R. A. Broglia, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 9849 (1993).
[16] P. A. Hervieux and J. Y. Bigot, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 197402 (2004).
[17] N. I. Grigorchuk, Cond. Mat. Phys, **16**, 33706 (2013).
[18] H. Nishioka, K. Hansen and B. R. Mottelson, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 9377 (1990).
[19] J. M. Pacheco, R. A. Broglia and B. R. Mottelson, Z. Phys. D **21**, 289 (1991).